Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,305	RALPH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	

All Participants:

(1) *Brian E. Pellegrino*.

(2) *Michael Doherty*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *9 March 2007*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double patenting statutory type under 35 U.S.C. 101

Claims discussed:

1,5,11,13,19

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,669,730

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A discussion of the amendment of 12/18/07 resulted in authorization from Mr. Doherty for the Examiner's amendment to the claims to eliminate the coextensive scope between the claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brian Pellegrino

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)